



# LOS ANGELES COUNTY ACUTE CARE HOSPITAL 2020 MULTI-FACILITY ANTI BIOGRAM



Acute Communicable Disease Control Program  
Los Angeles County Department of Public Health  
313 N. Figueroa Street  
Los Angeles, 90012  
Tel: (213) 240-7941  
[hai@ph.lacounty.gov](mailto:hai@ph.lacounty.gov)

## Contents

Introduction .....	3
Methodology Notes .....	4
Gram-Negative Organism Antibiogram .....	5
<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i> .....	6
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i> .....	7
<i>Citrobacter koseri</i> .....	8
<i>Enterobacter cloacae complex</i> .....	9
<i>Escherichia coli</i> .....	10
<i>Klebsiella (Enterobacter) aerogenes</i> .....	11
<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i> .....	12
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> .....	13
<i>Morganella morganii</i> .....	14
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i> .....	15
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> .....	16
<i>Serratia marcescens</i> .....	17
<i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i> .....	18
Gram-Positive Organism Antibiogram .....	19
<i>Enterococcus</i> spp.....	20
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> .....	21
<i>Enterococcus faecium</i> .....	22
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (ALL).....	23
Methicillin-Resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (MRSA).....	24
Methicillin-Susceptible <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (MSSA) .....	25
<i>Streptococcus agalactiae</i> (Group B <i>Streptococcus</i> ) .....	26
<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i> (Group A <i>Streptococcus</i> ).....	27
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> .....	28

## Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AR) is a global public health concern due to high morbidity, mortality, and healthcare costs associated with AR infections. The number of reports of bacteria resistant to antimicrobial agents has grown substantially in the past decade globally, especially isolates resistant to multiple and last-line antimicrobial agents. Facility-level antibiograms provide a summary of the percentage of isolates susceptible to a variety of antimicrobial agents within an individual healthcare facility. The facility antibiogram is an important tool for the development of antimicrobial stewardship policies and protocols for empiric antimicrobial selection. Facility antibiograms are often limited by relatively few organisms tested and restricted geographic sampling.

A multi-facility regional antibiogram addresses many of those limitations by aggregating data from multiple locations for a more robust representative tool. The Los Angeles County Department of Public Health (LAC DPH) produces a multi-facility countywide antibiogram from antibiograms submitted by acute care hospitals in the County. The LAC regional antibiogram allows LAC DPH to track susceptibility data to better understand the problem of AR, and to better target interventions and prevention activities. A LAC DPH Health Officer Order issued by LAC DPH in January 2017 mandated that all acute care hospitals in the county submit their antibiogram to DPH, beginning with data from 2016<sup>1</sup>.

In 2020, antibiogram data representing 81 (89%) acute care hospitals were reported and are included in this countywide report. Of the 81 hospitals, 73 are general acute care and 8 are long-term acute care hospitals. Note that some LAC hospitals send out their micro specimens and do not have enough isolates to generate a facility antibiogram. Lastly, although hospitals located in Long Beach and Pasadena, are affiliated with individual DPHs in those jurisdictions, data has been included for more comprehensive regional coverage.

The report contains an overall gram-negative organism antibiogram table, an overall gram-positive organism antibiogram table, and then a separate table for each organism that contains additional data for relevant antibiotics.

The intended use of this document is to provide a bi-annual report of antimicrobial resistance and emerging resistance among acute care hospitals in Los Angeles County. Individual facilities may compare their antibiogram to the regional antibiogram for aberrations. The Los Angeles multi-facility antibiogram may also be used to support empiric therapy selection at the local level when: the individual facility antibiogram has too few isolates (less than 30) of a particular organism; small hospitals and skilled nursing facilities do not encounter a wide variety of organisms; and healthcare facilities outside LA County receive patients from within LA County. Although facility or regional antibiograms can assist healthcare professionals in guiding empiric therapies, clinicians must take host factors into consideration and adjust antibiotic treatment to final microbiology results as soon as they are available<sup>2</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup> <http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/acd/docs/CREorder.pdf>

<sup>2</sup> Halstead DC, Gomez N, McCarter YS. Reality of Developing a Community-Wide Antibiogram. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 2004;42(1):1-6. doi:10.1128/JCM.42.1.1-6.2004.

## Methodology Notes<sup>3</sup>

- Data included in the multi-facility Los Angeles County antibiogram were obtained through Health Officer Order-mandated facility-level antibiograms.
- Facility-level antibiograms that are used to guide empiric therapy of initial infections are generally prepared following CLSI M39 which recommends including data from the first isolate/patient /analysis period. These reports should not include data from subsequent isolates on a patient which may be more resistant than the first isolate. Therefore, % S values are likely overestimated in some cases as they do not reflect results from all isolates encountered.
- Some multifacility organizations elected to combine data from multiple facilities for their antibiogram submission.
- Facility-level antibiograms were compiled for the calendar year January 1 to December 31, 2020.
- Not all facilities reported results for all organism/drug combinations. Refer to the “# of hospitals reporting” value for each combination.
- Susceptibility was defined by local labs in all circumstances. Results are reported as presented by microbiology labs.
- The total number of susceptible isolates was calculated by weighting each facility’s isolate count by its reported susceptibility rate.
- The interquartile ranges (IQR) are presented for each percent susceptibility (%S) value. The IQR is the difference between the third and first quartiles of data.
- Data for both general acute care and long-term acute care hospitals are presented together.
- Organism/drug combinations reported by only one facility are not included.
- Susceptibility results were rounded down to 99% if between 99-100%.

---

<sup>3</sup> Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). 2022. Analysis and Presentation of Cumulative Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test Data; M39-A5. CLSI, Wayne, PA.

## Gram-Negative Organism Antibiogram

Data presented as: Percent Susceptible (# of Isolates Tested)	Penicillins		Cephalosporins			Carbapenems			Aminoglycosides			Quinolones		Other		# of all isolates tested (# of hospitals reporting)		
	Ampicillin/ Sulbactam	Piperacillin/ Tazobactam	Ceftriaxone	Ceftazidime	Cefepime	Cefazolin	Doripenem	Ertapenem	Imipenem	Meropenem	Amikacin	Gentamicin	Tobramycin	Ciprofloxacin	Levofloxacin	Trimethoprim/ Sulfamethoxazole	Nitrofurantoin	Minoxycline
<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i>	37 2,470	35 1,381	13 2,073	33 2,386	32 2,338	R	51 78	R 601	43 2,188	37 1,888	36 2,614	38 2,235	40 2,384	28 2,278	28 2,546	43 2,278	59 2,733	266 73
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i>	R 3,023	84 2,978	78 2,704	80 3,006	98 -	R	100 96	99 2,491	97 687	100 2,793	100 2,614	92 3,073	90 1,428	91 2,726	86 1,400	83 3,057	93 2,341	- 64
<i>Citrobacter koseri</i>	37 491	98 1,404	97 1,345	96 990	99 1,237	-	100 106	100 790	100 615	100 1,175	100 929	99 1,411	99 1,247	97 1,066	98 1,299	97 1,398	85 1,040	- 54
<i>Enterobacter cloacae complex</i>	R 7,347	79 7,021	74 6,397	78 7,268	93 -	R	97 291	95 5,408	96 1,534	99 6,820	99 6,523	99 7,468	97 4,029	95 6,582	94 3,643	89 7,501	33 4,296	- 71
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	58 163,214	95 189,992	87 186,017	91 171,649	91 172,314	87 156,696	99 7,141	99 151,672	99 32,425	99 175,118	99 170,120	88 189,804	85 72,142	76 175,790	67 66,930	71 190,179	96 172,666	- 81
<i>Klebsiella aerogenes</i>	R 5,291	81 5,291	80 4,352	81 5,047	96 -	R	100 192	97 4,156	84 1,004	98 4,954	99 4,856	97 5,342	94 2,329	95 4,793	90 2,269	95 5,346	15 3,719	- 71
<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i>	57 4,189	89 4,831	89 4,752	93 4,329	94 4,387	-	100 162	98 3,774	95 1,104	99 4,394	98 4,304	93 4,817	90 2,404	93 4,461	91 2,177	87 4,829	76 3,244	- 70
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	76 30,645	89 35,771	85 34,994	87 31,634	90 31,827	88 26,376	96 1,220	97 26,669	92 7,615	97 32,730	97 31,708	91 35,725	83 17,132	86 31,898	81 15,879	83 35,783	25 28,867	- 81
<i>Morganella morganii</i>	11 2,267	94 3,792	80 3,660	78 3,547	94 3,602	R	91 65	97 2,893	59* 967	98 3,365	97 3,470	75 3,791	83 2,101	64 3,397	54 2,057	61 3,796	R -	3,788 70
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	78 11,211	97 23,047	90 22,338	94 20,605	93 20,462	88 17,505	96 470	99 16,671	59* 2,360	99 20,126	99 20,484	87 22,983	87 11,376	84 21,026	75 10,912	63 23,030	73 R	- 81
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	R 25,897	86 -	R 24,215	84 25,493	85 -	R	89 1,265	R 6,355	75 23,843	87 16,952	96 26,467	86 16,357	92 23,563	79 14,142	69 R	R R	- 26,279 81	
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	R 2,940	89 3,890	86 3,679	91 3,809	92 -	R	100 138	97 2,758	80 654	98 3,601	94 3,681	96 4,050	83 2,482	84 3,609	83 2,369	96 3,882	R -	4,044 69
<i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i>	R 1,586	R -	R -	41 -	- R	R R	R R	R R	R R	R R	R R	R R	R -	81 2,448	93 2,467	99 1,012	2,469 75	

R: Intrinsically resistant

-: Not routinely tested, not applicable and/or limited data submitted

\*Note: Some isolates are not susceptible to imipenem due to non-carbapenemase mechanisms

<b><i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i></b> (n=2,733 from 73 Hospitals)			
	% Susceptible (IQR)	Number of Isolates	Number of Hospitals
<b>Ampicillin-Sulbactam</b>	37% (27-62)	2,470	70
<b>Piperacillin-Tazobactam</b>	35% (20-48)	1,381	61
<b>Ceftriaxone</b>	13 % (4-24)	2,073	54
<b>Ceftazidime</b>	33% (27-52)	2,386	63
<b>Cefepime</b>	32 % (19-55)	2,338	60
<b>Doripenem</b>	51% (33-67)	78	5
<b>Imipenem</b>	43% (33-64)	601	35
<b>Meropenem</b>	37% (24-65)	2,188	57
<b>Amikacin</b>	36% (25-67)	1,888	51
<b>Gentamicin</b>	38% (33-62)	2,614	73
<b>Tobramycin</b>	40% (37-66)	2,235	63
<b>Ciprofloxacin</b>	28% (25-54)	2,384	64
<b>Levofloxacin</b>	28% (25-55)	2,278	63
<b>Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole</b>	43% (42-70)	2,546	73
<b>Minocycline</b>	59% (56-86)	266	16

*Citrobacter freundii*  
(n=3,063 from 64 Hospitals)

	% Susceptible (IQR)	Number of Isolates tested	Number of hospitals
<b>Piperacillin-Tazobactam</b>	84% (78-90)	3,023	63
<b>Ceftriaxone</b>	78% (72-83)	2,978	61
<b>Ceftazidime</b>	80% (72-84)	2,704	58
<b>Cefepime</b>	98% (96-100)	3,006	61
<b>Doripenem</b>	100% (100-100)	96	5
<b>Ertapenem</b>	99% (100-100)	2,491	42
<b>Imipenem</b>	96% (93-100)	687	27
<b>Meropenem</b>	100% (100-100)	2,793	54
<b>Amikacin</b>	100% (100-100)	2,614	59
<b>Gentamicin</b>	92% (86-100)	3,073	64
<b>Tobramycin</b>	90% (86-100)	1,428	55
<b>Ciprofloxacin</b>	91% (80-96)	2,726	56
<b>Levofloxacin</b>	86% (86-96)	1,400	53
<b>Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole</b>	83% (76-91)	3,057	64
<b>Nitrofurantoin</b>	93% (88-100)	2,341	62

*Citrobacter koseri*  
(n=1,409 from 54 Hospitals)

	% Susceptible (IQR)	Number of Isolates tested	Number of hospitals
<b>Ampicillin-Sulbactam</b>	37% (67-100)	491	18
<b>Piperacillin-Tazobactam</b>	98% (97-100)	1,404	53
<b>Ceftriaxone</b>	97% (95-100)	1,345	49
<b>Ceftazidime</b>	96% (96-100)	990	46
<b>Cefepime</b>	99% (100-100)	1,237	49
<b>Ertapenem</b>	100% (100-100)	790	32
<b>Doripenem</b>	100% (100-100)	106	4
<b>Imipenem</b>	100% (100-100)	615	24
<b>Meropenem</b>	100% (100-100)	1,175	42
<b>Amikacin</b>	100% (100-100)	929	45
<b>Gentamicin</b>	99% (100-100)	1,411	53
<b>Tobramycin</b>	99% (100-100)	1,247	50
<b>Ciprofloxacin</b>	97% (96-100)	1,066	44
<b>Levofloxacin</b>	98% (100-100)	1,299	49
<b>Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole</b>	97% (97-100)	1,398	54
<b>Nitrofurantoin</b>	85% (80-94)	1,040	51

***Enterobacter cloacae complex***  
**(n=7,500 from 71 Hospitals)**

	% Susceptible (IQR)	Number of Isolates tested	Number of hospitals
<b>Piperacillin-Tazobactam</b>	79% (72-82)	7,347	63
<b>Ceftriaxone</b>	74% (65-79)	7,021	64
<b>Ceftazidime</b>	78% (69-80)	6,397	62
<b>Cefepime</b>	93% (89-98)	7,268	67
<b>Ertapenem</b>	95% (86-100)	5,408	43
<b>Doripenem</b>	97% (96-99)	291	5
<b>Imipenem</b>	96% (96-100)	1,534	27
<b>Meropenem</b>	99% (98-100)	6,820	60
<b>Amikacin</b>	99% (100-100)	6,523	66
<b>Gentamicin</b>	97% (96-100)	7,468	70
<b>Tobramycin</b>	95% (94-100)	4,029	60
<b>Ciprofloxacin</b>	95% (90-98)	6,582	61
<b>Levofloxacin</b>	94% (93-100)	3,643	56
<b>Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole</b>	89% (83-92)	7,501	71
<b>Nitrofurantoin</b>	33% (21-41)	4,296	64

<i>Escherichia coli</i> (n=190,079 from 81 Hospitals)			
	% Susceptible (IQR)	Number of Isolates tested	Number of hospitals
<b>Ampicillin-sulbactam</b>	58% (48-56)	163,214	72
<b>Piperacillin-Tazobactam</b>	95% (93-95)	189,992	81
<b>Ceftriaxone</b>	87% (74-85)	186,017	77
<b>Ceftazidime</b>	91% (75-92)	171,649	60
<b>Cefepime</b>	91% (75-96)	172,314	75
<b>Cefazolin</b>	86% (71-85)	156,696	55
<b>Doripenem</b>	99% (99-100)	7,141	5
<b>Ertapenem</b>	99% (99-100)	151,672	51
<b>Imipenem</b>	99% (99-100)	32,425	39
<b>Meropenem</b>	99% (99-100)	175,118	65
<b>Amikacin</b>	99% (99-100)	170,120	79
<b>Gentamicin</b>	88% (83-89)	189,804	80
<b>Tobramycin</b>	85% (80-88)	72,142	69
<b>Ciprofloxacin</b>	76% (57-72)	175,790	74
<b>Levofloxacin</b>	67% (57-74)	66,930	67
<b>Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole</b>	71% (62-69)	190,179	81
<b>Nitrofurantoin</b>	96% (95-97)	172,666	74

<b><i>Klebsiella (Enterobacter) aerogenes</i></b> (n=5,362 from 71 Hospitals)			
	% Susceptible (IQR)	Number of Isolates tested	Number of hospitals
<b>Piperacillin-Tazobactam</b>	81% (70-85)	5,291	70
<b>Ceftriaxone</b>	80% (65-83)	5,219	67
<b>Ceftazidime</b>	81% (68-83)	4,352	63
<b>Cefepime</b>	96% (94-100)	5,047	66
<b>Doripenem</b>	100% (100-100)	192	5
<b>Ertapenem</b>	97% (96-100)	4,156	44
<b>Imipenem</b>	84% (74-100)	1,004	27
<b>Meropenem</b>	98% (98-100)	4,954	59
<b>Amikacin</b>	99% (100-100)	4,856	65
<b>Gentamicin</b>	97% (95-100)	5,342	70
<b>Tobramycin</b>	94% (95-100)	2,329	59
<b>Ciprofloxacin</b>	95% (92-100)	4,793	61
<b>Levofloxacin</b>	90% (92-100)	2,269	56
<b>Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole</b>	95% (93-100)	5,346	71
<b>Nitrofurantoin</b>	15% (8-27)	3,719	65

<b><i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i></b> (n=4,842 from 70 Hospitals)			
	% Susceptible (IQR)	Number of Isolates tested	Number of hospitals
<b>Ampicillin-Sulbactam</b>	57% (52-71)	4,189	63
<b>Piperacillin-Tazobactam</b>	89% (86-96)	4,831	70
<b>Ceftriaxone</b>	89% (82-94)	4,752	66
<b>Ceftazidime</b>	93% (88-97)	4,329	62
<b>Cefepime</b>	94% (88-100)	4,387	65
<b>Doripenem</b>	100% (100-100)	162	4
<b>Ertapenem</b>	98% (100-100)	3,774	44
<b>Imipenem</b>	95% (98-100)	1,104	26
<b>Meropenem</b>	99% (100-100)	4,394	59
<b>Amikacin</b>	98% (100-100)	4,304	63
<b>Gentamicin</b>	93% (89-98)	4,817	69
<b>Tobramycin</b>	90% (88-97)	2,404	59
<b>Ciprofloxacin</b>	93% (89-100)	4,461	61
<b>Levofloxacin</b>	91% (90-100)	2,177	54
<b>Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole</b>	87% (83-92)	4,829	70
<b>Nitrofurantoin</b>	76% (78-94)	3,244	67

<b><i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i></b> (n=35,781 from 81 Hospitals)			
	% Susceptible (IQR)	Number of Isolates tested	Number of hospitals
<b>Ampicillin-Sulbactam</b>	76% (63-78)	30,645	73
<b>Piperacillin-Tazobactam</b>	89% (81-91)	35,771	81
<b>Ceftriaxone</b>	85% (73-87)	34,994	77
<b>Ceftazidime</b>	87% (76-87)	31,634	73
<b>Cefepime</b>	90% (77-93)	31,827	75
<b>Cefazolin</b>	88% (73-87)	26,376	46
<b>Doripenem</b>	96% (96-99)	1,220	5
<b>Ertapenem</b>	97% (96-100)	26,669	51
<b>Imipenem</b>	92% (90-98)	7,615	38
<b>Meropenem</b>	97% (90-99)	32,730	71
<b>Amikacin</b>	97% (92-99)	31,708	79
<b>Gentamicin</b>	91% (84-93)	35,725	80
<b>Tobramycin</b>	83% (79-90)	17,132	69
<b>Ciprofloxacin</b>	86% (71-86)	31,898	72
<b>Levofloxacin</b>	81% (71-90)	15,879	66
<b>Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole</b>	83% (76-85)	35,783	81
<b>Nitrofurantoin</b>	25% (25-34)	28,867	80

<b><i>Morganella morganii</i></b> (n=3,788 from 70 Hospitals)			
	% Susceptible (IQR)	Number of Isolates tested	Number of hospitals
<b>Ampicillin-Sulbactam</b>	11% (3-15)	2,267	57
<b>Piperacillin-Tazobactam</b>	94% (93-100)	3,792	70
<b>Ceftriaxone</b>	80% (73-90)	3,660	66
<b>Ceftazidime</b>	78% (69-86)	3,547	63
<b>Cefepime</b>	94% (94-100)	3,602	65
<b>Doripenem</b>	91% (89-98)	65	3
<b>Ertapenem</b>	97% (100-100)	2,893	43
<b>Imipenem</b>	59% (20-92)	967	24
<b>Meropenem</b>	98% (100-100)	3,365	58
<b>Amikacin</b>	97% (99-100)	3,470	65
<b>Gentamicin</b>	75% (70-82)	3,791	69
<b>Tobramycin</b>	83% (85-97)	2,101	59
<b>Ciprofloxacin</b>	64% (40-72)	3,397	61
<b>Levofloxacin</b>	54% (47-75)	2,057	57
<b>Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole</b>	61% (49-68)	3,796	70

***Proteus mirabilis***  
**(n=23,042 from 81 Hospitals)**

	% Susceptible (IQR)	Number of Isolates tested	Number of hospitals
<b>Ampicillin-Sulbactam</b>	78% (73-82)	11,211	69
<b>Piperacillin-Tazobactam</b>	97% (97-100)	23,047	81
<b>Ceftriaxone</b>	90% (80-91)	22,338	77
<b>Ceftazidime</b>	94% (85-96)	20,605	67
<b>Cefepime</b>	93% (85-96)	20,462	75
<b>Cefazolin</b>	88% (72-88)	17,505	52
<b>Doripenem</b>	96% (97-100)	470	4
<b>Ertapenem</b>	99% (100-100)	16,671	52
<b>Imipenem</b>	59% (17-93)	2,360	21
<b>Meropenem</b>	99% (99-100)	20,126	67
<b>Amikacin</b>	99% (99-100)	20,484	78
<b>Gentamicin</b>	87% (78-88)	22,983	80
<b>Tobramycin</b>	84% (81-90)	11,376	69
<b>Ciprofloxacin</b>	75% (43-71)	21,026	73
<b>Levofloxacin</b>	63% (54-73)	10,912	66
<b>Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole</b>	73% (58-74)	23,030	81

<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> (n=26,279 from 81 Hospitals)			
	% Susceptible (IQR)	Number of Isolates tested	Number of hospitals
<b>Piperacillin-Tazobactam</b>	86% (79-90)	25,897	68
<b>Ceftazidime</b>	84% (73-88)	24,215	75
<b>Cefepime</b>	85% (74-88)	25,493	78
<b>Doripenem</b>	89% (87-92)	1,265	5
<b>Imipenem</b>	75% (62-84)	6,355	41
<b>Meropenem</b>	87% (74-90)	23,843	71
<b>Amikacin</b>	96% (95-99)	16,952	79
<b>Gentamicin</b>	86% (82-92)	26,467	80
<b>Tobramycin</b>	92% (92-97)	16,357	63
<b>Ciprofloxacin</b>	79% (65-83)	23,563	74
<b>Levofloxacin</b>	69% (63-77)	14,142	68

<i>Serratia marcescens</i> (n=4,044 from 69 Hospitals)			
	% Susceptible (IQR)	Number of Isolates tested	Number of hospitals
<b>Piperacillin-Tazobactam</b>	89% (79-98)	2,940	50
<b>Ceftriaxone</b>	86% (80-95)	3,890	64
<b>Ceftazidime</b>	91% (76-100)	3,679	62
<b>Cefepime</b>	92% (86-100)	3,809	64
<b>Doripenem</b>	100% (100-100)	138	4
<b>Ertapenem</b>	97% (100-100)	2,758	42
<b>Imipenem</b>	80% (88-100)	654	16
<b>Meropenem</b>	98% (97-100)	3,601	56
<b>Amikacin</b>	94% (96-100)	3,681	63
<b>Gentamicin</b>	96% (97-100)	4,050	68
<b>Tobramycin</b>	83% (76-93)	2,482	56
<b>Ciprofloxacin</b>	84% (66-94)	3,609	59
<b>Levofloxacin</b>	83% (75-97)	2,369	58
<b>Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole</b>	96% (94-100)	3,882	61

<b><i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i></b> (n=2,469 from 75 Hospitals)			
	% Susceptible (IQR)	Number of Isolates tested	Number of hospitals
<b>Ceftazidime</b>	41% (23-61)	1,586	39
<b>Levofloxacin</b>	81% (78-91)	2,448	73
<b>Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole</b>	93% (89-100)	2,467	75
<b>Minocycline</b>	99% (99-100)	1,012	17

## Gram-Positive Organism Antibiogram

Data presented as: Percent Susceptible (# of Isolates Tested)	Penicillins			Cephalosporins		Tetracyclines		Quinolones		Other						# of all isolates tested (# of hospitals reporting)
	Ampicillin	Oxacillin	Penicillin	Ceftriaxone	Ceftazidime	Doxycycline	Ciprofloxacin	Levofloxacin	Clindamycin	Daptomycin	Erythromycin	Linezolid	Nitrofurantoin	Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole	Vancomycin	
<i>Enterococcus</i> spp.	90 17,867	R 4,189	71 R	R 864	46 3,671	57 3,035	71 2,193	R 3,040	89 16,405	19 13,404	99 18,039	87 51	R 18,039	R 18,553	90 51	
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	99 15,722	R 11,373	98 R	R 1,900	27 8,821	69 9,940	73 4,211	R 5,828	95 13,492	9 12,116	97 17,422	98 80	R 94	R 17,307	94 80	
<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	17 4,120	R 3,042	15 R	R 481	49 2,290	11 2,279	15 1,540	R 1,520	79 3,963	4 2,657	96 4,485	27 72	R 34	R 4,453	34 72	
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (all)	2 6,952	63 45,427	10 15,858	- 3,044	100 5,830	96 19,544	54 16,734	52 47,730	71 9,054	98 24,209	44 44,968	100 16,073	99 48,560	95 50,031	100 71	
Methicillin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (MRSA)	R 15,418	0 R	R 857	R 2,360	98 8,839	90 7,959	13 17,738	13 4,070	61 9,926	97 16,334	14 5,076	98 18,372	99 18,480	91 68	100 68	
Methicillin-susceptible <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (MSSA)	3 3,849	99 25,794	18 8,768	- 2,211	100 4,354	97 10,998	84 8,843	85 28,656	78 4,321	99 12,899	65 26,807	99 7,703	99 29,084	97 29,370	100 61	
<i>Streptococcus agalactiae</i> (Group B Strep)	84 1,207	- 7,521	100 557	99 86	- 845	- 7,529	- 40	94 40	49 614	100 836	46 614	- 836	- 7,703	- 29,084	100 7,865	
<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i> (Group A Strep)	100 412	- 395	99 245	100 245	- -	- -	- 164	97 442	84 453	- 453	87 151	100 151	- 465	- 465	100 32	
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> (non-meningitis)	92 36	- 694	94 687	96 32	- 32	94 490	- 490	95 478	80 581	- 581	66 173	98 576	- 576	78 755	99 48	
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> (meningitis)	- 404	- 455	70 404	86 455	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	61 84	- -	- -	- -	492 29	

R: Intrinsically resistant

-: Not routinely tested, not applicable and/or limited data submitted

*Enterococcus* spp.  
(n=18,553 from 51 Hospitals)

	% Susceptible (IQR)	Number of isolates tested	Number of hospitals
<b>Ampicillin</b>	90% (74-91)	17,867	50
<b>Penicillin</b>	71% (50-81)	4,189	29
<b>Levofloxacin</b>	71% (50-82)	3,050	29
<b>Doxycycline</b>	46% (38-48)	864	4
<b>Ciprofloxacin</b>	57% (51-79)	3,671	27
<b>Daptomycin</b>	88% (85-98)	2,193	17
<b>Erythromycin</b>	19% (10-42)	3,040	23
<b>Linezolid</b>	99% (97-100)	16,405	45
<b>Nitrofurantoin</b>	87% (71-94)	13,404	42
<b>Vancomycin</b>	90% (56-88)	18,039	51

***Enterococcus faecalis***  
**(n=17,307 from 80 Hospitals)**

	% Susceptible (IQR)	Number of isolates tested	Number of hospitals
<b>Ampicillin</b>	99% (99-100)	15,722	77
<b>Penicillin</b>	96% (96-99)	11,373	51
<b>Levofloxacin</b>	73% (69-80)	9,940	58
<b>Doxycycline</b>	27% (20-28)	1,900	10
<b>Ciprofloxacin</b>	69% (62-80)	8,821	55
<b>Daptomycin</b>	95% (95-100)	4,211	23
<b>Erythromycin</b>	9% (5-13)	5,828	43
<b>Linezolid</b>	97% (97-100)	13,492	74
<b>Nitrofurantoin</b>	98% (97-100)	12,116	71
<b>Vancomycin</b>	94% (94-98)	17,422	80

***Enterococcus faecium***  
**(n=4,453 from 72 Hospitals)**

	% Susceptible (IQR)	Number of isolates tested	Number of hospitals
<b>Ampicillin</b>	17% (10-27)	4,120	67
<b>Penicillin</b>	15% (7-27)	3,042	48
<b>Levofloxacin</b>	15% (7-20)	2,279	55
<b>Doxycycline</b>	49% (48-57)	481	10
<b>Ciprofloxacin</b>	11% (5-16)	2,290	52
<b>Daptomycin</b>	79% (39-97)	1,540	22
<b>Erythromycin</b>	4% (0-5)	1,520	37
<b>Linezolid</b>	96% (97-100)	3,936	67
<b>Nitrofurantoin</b>	27% (15-40)	2,657	68
<b>Vancomycin</b>	34% (27-50)	4,485	72

<b><i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (ALL)</b> <b>(n=49,679 from 71 Hospitals)</b>			
	% Susceptible (IQR)	Number of isolates tested	Number of hospitals
Oxacillin	63% (46-64)	45,427	61
Penicillin	10% (0-19)	15,858	39
Doxycycline	96% (98-100)	5,830	11
Ceftaroline	100% (100-100)	3,044	5
Ciprofloxacin	54% (41-61)	19,544	44
Clindamycin	71% (61-72)	47,730	70
Daptomycin	98% (100-100)	9,054	27
Erythromycin	44% (38-48)	24,209	54
Levofloxacin	52% (45-66)	16,734	47
Linezolid	100% (100-100)	44,968	62
Nitrofurantoin	99% (99-100)	16,073	57
Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole	95% (93-97)	48,560	70
Vancomycin	100% (100-100)	50,031	71

Methicillin-Resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (MRSA) (n=18,465 from 68 Hospitals)			
	% Susceptible (IQR)	Number of isolates tested	Number of hospitals
<b>Oxacillin</b>	0%	15,418	53
<b>Levofloxacin</b>	13% (10-16)	7,959	46
<b>Ceftaroline</b>	98% (99-100)	857	5
<b>Ciprofloxacin</b>	13% (10-16)	8,839	45
<b>Clindamycin</b>	61% (48-61)	17,738	67
<b>Daptomycin</b>	97% (99-100)	4,070	27
<b>Doxycycline</b>	90% (86-100)	2,360	12
<b>Erythromycin</b>	14% (12-17)	9,926	55
<b>Linezolid</b>	98% (100-100)	16,464	55
<b>Nitrofurantoin</b>	99% (99-100)	5,076	60
<b>Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole</b>	91% (89-95)	18,372	67
<b>Vancomycin</b>	100% (100-100)	18,480	68

Methicillin-Susceptible <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (MSSA) (n=29,545 from 61 Hospitals)			
	% Susceptible (IQR)	Number of isolates tested	Number of hospitals
Oxacillin	99% (100-100)	25,794	48
Penicillin	18% (0-27)	8,768	34
Levofloxacin	85% (80-90)	8,843	45
Doxycycline	97% (92-100)	4,354	12
Ceftaroline	100% (100-100)	2,211	5
Ciprofloxacin	84% (81-88)	10,998	40
Clindamycin	78% (72-80)	28,656	61
Daptomycin	99% (99-100)	4,321	17
Erythromycin	65% (61-69)	12,899	51
Linezolid	99% (100-100)	26,807	51
Nitrofurantoin	99% (100-100)	7,703	55
Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole	97% (96-99)	29,084	59
Vancomycin	100% (100-100)	29,370	61

<b><i>Streptococcus agalactiae</i> (Group B <i>Streptococcus</i>)</b> (n=8,290 from 53 Hospitals)			
	% Susceptible (IQR)	Number of isolates tested	Number of hospitals
<b>Penicillin</b>	100% (100-100)	7,521	38
<b>Ceftriaxone</b>	99% (100-100)	557	26
<b>Ceftaroline</b>	100% (100-100)	86	1
<b>Levofloxacin</b>	94% (92-100)	845	37
<b>Clindamycin</b>	49% (7-51)	7,529	44
<b>Daptomycin</b>	100% (100-100)	40	2
<b>Erythromycin</b>	46% (24-52)	614	29
<b>Linezolid</b>	100% (100-100)	836	28
<b>Vancomycin</b>	100% (100-100)	7,865	53

<b><i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i> (Group A <i>Streptococcus</i>) (n=479 from 32 Hospitals)</b>			
	<b>% Susceptible (IQR)</b>	<b>Number of isolates tested</b>	<b>Number of hospitals</b>
<b>Ampicillin</b>	100% (100-100)	412	24
<b>Penicillin</b>	99% (100-100)	395	24
<b>Ceftriaxone</b>	100% (100-100)	245	26
<b>Levofloxacin</b>	97% (100-100)	164	24
<b>Clindamycin</b>	84% (86-100)	442	27
<b>Erythromycin</b>	87% (80-100)	453	30
<b>Linezolid</b>	100% (100-100)	151	19
<b>Vancomycin</b>	100% (100-100)	465	32

<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> (n=1,310 from 48 Hospitals)			
	% Susceptible (IQR)	Number of Isolates tested	Number of Hospitals
<b>Ampicillin</b>	92% (89-100)	36	4
<b>Penicillin</b>			
Non-meningitis	94% (96-100)	694	45
Meningitis	70% (44-88)	404	27
<b>Ceftriaxone</b>			
Non-meningitis	96% (100-100)	687	44
Meningitis	86% (75-100)	455	28
<b>Levofloxacin</b>	95% (95-100)	490	36
<b>Doxycycline</b>	94% (93-98)	32	3
<b>Clindamycin</b>	80% (73-100)	478	36
<b>Erythromycin</b>			
Non-meningitis	66% (58-100)	581	46
Meningitis	61% (54-70)	84	8
<b>Linezolid</b>	98% (100-100)	173	22
<b>Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole</b>	78% (68-100)	576	42
<b>Vancomycin</b>	99% (100-100)	755	48